

Any item or issue not on the agenda you have a question, comment or statement about please bring up under Items from the General Public.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS REGULAR MEETING AGENDA
6:00pm, CBAB, 601 State Street, 1st Floor Conf. Room, Hood River, Oregon

MINUTES

Chair Rivers called the special meeting to order. Present: Commissioner Perkins, Commissioner Benton, Commissioner Meyer, Commissioner Joplin, Administrator Hecksel, County Counsels Davies and Carey.

CONFLICTS OR POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST –

Chair Rivers asked if any Commissioner had any conflicts or potential conflicts to declare and no one did however Commissioner Benton wanted to address rumors regarding him having a short term rental. Commissioner Benton stated he does not own or operate a short term rental and does not intend to.

ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS FROM THE AGENDA –

None.

UNSCHEDULED ITEMS FROM THE GENERAL PUBLIC (limit 5 minutes per person per subject)

Linda Floyd thanked the Commissioners for approving repairs to Barker Road.

REPORTS

None.

PUBLIC HEARINGS –

1. **Short Term Rental Regulations**

RECOMMENDATION: Review modified regulations as requested at the 8/22/16 Commission meeting and determine the best action for the County.

Chair Rivers stated because of new facts he will allow public testimony for 3 minutes per person after the Commissioner hears from staff.

Chair Rivers re-opened the hearing and asked for a staff report.

John Roberts, Community Development Director – Shared the number of land use permits processed over the last few years: 2014 there were 287, 2015 there were 284 and so far in 2016 there have been 236. He is assuming there could be approximately 50 permits with the suggested fee schedule you would be looking at 35-50K yr. Review on type 2 those could be outsourced, so you would have some cost there but you are still looking at putting 20 hrs staff time in on those. With no appeals you are looking at breaking even. Considering appeals - you are putting apps into CUP process you will get appeals - you are looking at 40-80 hours and not sure of the outcome. Once you get the initial applications through the renewals would be easier.

Being more proactive with enforcement compliance is not just limited to Land use issues - you have sanitation compliance, noise, etc.

How the compliance program is set up - you work with staff to work out something if that does not work then the issue goes to legal counsel and since he has been on staff there have been 4 of those. If it gets through counsel it then goes to the hearings officer and on to circuit court – this process is untested but using that process you would take the compliance planner and the duties would be part land use and part planning. 4th scenario would be if you were really aggressive and you were going to levy fines, it can be done but it would take time. Through GPS you would identify the STRs that are not permitted through the county and fine them up to \$1,000 per day. Then it would go onto circuit court if compliance was not found. The cost benefit is not really there, there is not a huge return except making the STR community aware that they must be legal and there is not a lot of money, because of the limits on TRT cut. He feels we could get 80 percent of the STRs out

there now permitted and into compliance and 20 percent would be spent on research and going through the he process to get folks into compliance.

Discussion on about staff time and costs to processing application, provide enforcement – where would the breakeven point or ability to offset the cost be.

John went over the different scenarios with the type of applications that would be files.

Type I application; - all zones except industrial and the airport overlay and the resource zones, which would be Type II application.

Type 2 - brining in appeals and cost recovery starts going down.

Scenario 3 - cost recovery goes down more, but you will get more compliance.

Scenario 4 - aggressively looking for violations and levying fines, on those that are still unpermitted. The cost benefit is not to promising but the long term benefit is the community knowing that you have to be compliant.

Joplin - asked about the loss of county staff time. John stated with scenario 3 and 4 you would lose the counter help from the compliance planner position because they would be focused on compliance.

Perkins asked about Type 2 you have side boards and can set those can you add requirements. John said that we would look at CUP and STR standards and we already did that but we could add more, yes.

EFU Type 2 restrictions in event of Type 2 application - Owner occupied, primary dwelling and will remain residential and will not be rented more than 180 days a year - farm worker housing and agricultural buildings will not be allowed to turn into STR.

Meyer - you are saying that all farm, forest lands have to be primary dwellings and not rented for a predominant amount of the year - less than 180 days. Perkins would like to add - shared easements, ingress/egress if that was shared it would be a kick out and required to get the neighbors concurrence on the application. Benton said if we are going to go down that road why are we not just doing a type I application. Benton said if the neighbors have to sign off and say yes it will make it that much less appealable. Benton is not sure why the heavy concurrence by the neighbors. Perkins and Benton debating Perkins suggestion as to why it is needed. Benton said it seems redundant to have that done and then go through the Type 2 process. Perkins feels it needs a higher level of scrutiny. Anyone that spends time looking at maps and zoning maps there are a lot of parcels that do not fit EFU and F1 zones and it makes sense to have those. Parcels rented out, and feel that if the neighbors sign off it will minimize the chance of your neighbor appealing the application. Benton asked John if we have anything that requires that much checking with the neighbors. John said no we do not. Joplin asked about the B&B approvals.

Benton would like to see a list of what type of information staff would be proving the BOC and be able to address that info and request certain info if desired. Benton wants to see info about the values of homes that are being STR it would help explain to the general public when they have questions.

Meyer wants to talk about caps for the STR - the revenue neutral aspects give us a different way to approach this and to him the way to approach it is do not allow it to bleed and to get a compliance officer more FT. He does like the neighbor support of the application, why not lower the cap and put one of the restrictions on it - say drop it to 75, so 1.6 percent of the inventory. Considering there will be a lot of them that will make it simpler to lower value farm land and de-incentivizing it on higher value farm land. Benton said that he doesn't feel that will make it less cumbersome, it is already difficult to go through the process.

Joplin said what about having 100 in the unincorporated and cap the EFU to 25 of the 100. Perkins said he has no issue capping the EFU but feels it will cap itself. Not knowing how this will impact we can revisit it in two years.

Benton said that we are tweaking this down really hard and not knowing where this will even go. Joplin said it is easier to remove sidebars than to add some later.

Chair Rivers likes only like allowing 25 active permits of the 100 to be on EFU. Benton said he feels there are currently more than 25 units. Perkins said that many of those will not make it through the process.

John said he clarified with the first revisions - that requiring residences of the primary dwelling. Benton said he would like to come up with something that can be approved and would like to set a date for mandatory review and get something passed in order to get the ball rolling.

Perkins asked if there are insurance requirements. John said no we did not include that but can easily add that in. Meyer said he has heard that insurance complained are putting that into their policies.

Discussion about the number of allowed STRs. Meyer said he would go 100 with 25-35 on EFU with neighbor concurrence on application if a shared driveway.

Public Testimony

Kate Mills – has lived here for over 50 yrs and shocked at what she is hearing. Her issue is affordable housing and saving farm land. Maybe she should have come to the initial meetings. Speaking for herself tonight not the HRVRC - when she first came here the comp plan was being addressed and vacation rentals were not on the list and she is opposed to them. She has 8 rentals since the 60's and rents to a Mt Hood Meadows mechanic, a stone mason, a school teacher, a retired couple, all of these are next to farm land. Also rent to a Mt Hood Railroad employee, a restaurant manager, a couple who is an office worker and a nurse. These people generally pay the rent, take care of themselves and because there is very limited affordable housing in Parkdale she gets calls all the time. Her rents range from \$300-\$1500 mo and she tries not to raise them. Where are those people going to go when I am gone. They spend their money in the community. She does not see where vacation rentals fit. She does not hear the commission talk much about farm land. 2/3 of our land is publicly owned that does not leave much for people to live here. Thank you for being here.

Les says that affordable housing is very important to the Board and he doesn't disagree with anything she said but things are changing and have already changed whether we want to recognize it or not. You will never regulate 100 percent because there is too many avenues to get 100 percent compliance. Perkins said M37 changed his view on how we need to manage these changes and for him this is what this is about , to find a way to minimize impacts on affordable housing

Mike McCarthy, 8405 Clear Creek Rd, Parkdale OR – he collected a petition from many farmers in the area. All families contacted where family farms and those 60 families were against any kind of STR. Their biggest concern was how is that going to impact housing for families. Most said they want a stable zone they bought in to. The joint access is a big deal and wants to have the compliance issue figures out. There is no form to make a complaint. Wants to see the process for compliance figure out before permits are issues.

Heather Staten, Exec Director HRVRC - regulations must be consistent with OAR's and if they are not in compliance then you have created something that is unlawful. Feels the commission needs to work more on these and she will give the commission the areas where they the residents committee feels inconsistencies are. She emailed the DLCD farm and forest specialist. 2nd page of the hand out addresses home occupations regulations. Heather handed out information to the Commission (copy is in the packet). Feels confused with the primary dwelling - is that the primary house on the property or the home that the owner lives in. DLCD says it needs to be the home that the owner of the property lives in.

Juan Reyes, 3415 Lacey Rd, HR - Is an appraiser for Hood River County. Regarding the vacation rentals on farm use land and one of the things statues states that homes on EFU land used for anything other than farming - they are disqualified from farm use plus there is a 5 year tax go back once it leaves farm use. There is an incentive to use the land for farming. In his assessment of businesses - they spend a lot of time looking at websites finding the rentals to assess them like everyone else. Just providing information. Benton said if you are short term renting a home in HRC everything in the home is assessable. Juan said that everyone needs to file a personal property form but that doesn't mean everything is taxable.

Christine Taylor 5858 Barrett Drive, HR - for those that are interested in STR - they were looking at turning their home into a STR to supplement their SS and they are in an area that is pretty secluded and thought it would be nice opportunity for them. Thinks there is a lot of good things to be said about STR and the other points are good as well but there are two sides.

No other public comment. Chair closed the testimony part of the hearing and asked John Roberts to come back.

Johns said there is no harm in continuing the hearing and feels everything that he heard is addressed but there is time for further review if that is where the Commission wants to go.

Chair Rivers continued the Short Term Rental regulations hearing to a special meeting on September 12, 2016 at 6:00pm.

Marijuana Businesses Ordinance

RECOMMENDATION: Review the information provided by staff and then determine the best action for the County.

Chair Rivers opened the public hearing at 7:30pm.

John Roberts, Community Development Director – the planning commission recommendation is an attached, the findings are there, the public comments received and exhibits A B C D are included in the packet and Exhibit A is the marijuana information.

John provided the commission with an overview of how he is here this evening with proposed time place and manner regulations for marijuana businesses in Hood River County. Our regulations will only apply to unincorporated areas outside the UGB this does not regulate personal use of marijuana but it does weave recreational and medicinal businesses. This is being addressed in the Home Occupation section of the county zoning code.

Modification to existing permits would be subject to these regulations once adopted. The proposed rules do not all for growing on RR and R1, no processing on F1. Allow process through a Type 2 land use review - Retail in C1 zone.

Map shows where some retail outside the UGB would be allowed. Two provisions that he would like to tweak - limit the one permit per lot of record, add 80 ft set back, include filtration system on industrial zones and retail operations are similar. Two major set backs, 1000 ft from schools and day cares and we expanded that to include public parks library, history museums, treatment centers and multi-family dwellings owned by a public entity. Resource lands also have a 1000 ft set back. That came from the high school being surrounded by EFU lands.

OLCC issues production, processing, commercial for retaining and wholesaling permits for marijuana. He has not sure what whole sailing means and DLCD says just prohibit it and it will take care of itself. He will come back to that.

Why moratorium on RR - legislative attempt for RR was to address the smaller parcels and our average RR is about 2 acres, if more of our RR was larger it might be a different discussion but that is not the case and it could cause some real impacts. There was some really good public input and questions - like lighting, setbacks, why should farm use be prohibit use in RR zones, and more.

Lighting is already addressed in our code, 80 ft set back is also in our code.

Exhibit A - Marijuana section page 6 or 7. Found an error on page 7 a standard that was inserted that the PC didn't review, that John inserted. No more than one marijuana business can be established on a lot of record. When medical marijuana came out people card stacked and got cards for maybe 100 people.

Resource zoned lands no more than one marijuana business of the same type will be allowed one the owner of record. Marijuana whole sailing the definition was changed to allow that on resource lands.

Meyer Section page 9 items D and E -

State says you cannot sell at a farm stand. Meyer said that you cannot have a B&B and a marijuana crop on EFU. John said the intent is to not have that happen because you already have a commercial use.

Chair asked for public testimony -

Teunis G. Wyers, 3460 Avalon Drive, Hood River - handed out written testimony (see packet for full details)

Jeff Jerome, 2661 Reed Rd, HR - Cannabis is legal and regulatory system has been set up for how it can be grown. The citizens of HR want their children protected and so why would we want an extra barrier to get into the system. The licensed cannabis farmers do not want illegal grows - and want their kids to be safe. Once you are in the system everything is tracked to make sure nothing can get into the hands of kids. You should help support the system and not put more barriers. Proposed regulations says supplemental lighting can only be used during the day when you do not need supplemental lighting. The regulation would only pertain to 10-15 farmers in the area, it would not pertain to backyard farmers, veggies farmers it is only limited in the cannabis farmer from growing their crop.

Set backs - no other set backs for EFU lands. Trying to creating a set back from the smell of a plant that is no harm to anyone. What if a farmer wanted to plant the Bradford pear the one that smells like rotten fish. Would you create a set back for that?

Jackson County is currently being sued over the restrictions they passed on farm land.

The whole idea of card stacking is incorrect - it is only a medical card that has used it and used by medicinal grows - he is not sure what problem is being solved. He is asking that folks allow time to understand the reason for it. Why are all of these regulations under Home Occupation - growing a crop is not a home occupation. Put it where it belongs. Urges the Commission to not pass the regulations and allow the cannabis farmers to grow their crops.

Peter Dallman, 1192 Country Club Rd, HR - asking for allowance of cannabis to be grown on RR zones. He has been a medical cannabis grower on his property for the last 6 years and have not had a complaint from his neighbors.

Claims that not all information provided by Planning Director was given to the commission as it should have been regarding the size allowed for indoor and outdoor grows. Each of the commission and PC meetings he has attended since April there have been no discussion by the Planning Director or Commissioners of how to protect the land rights for the farmer. Land owners that want to grow a crop. This is inconsistent with the county regulations of farm use on RR lands. He believes the micro tier 1 or micro tier for an outdoor grow can be done. It would be also be consistent with the state regulations.

Brian Mears 5121 Baseline Rd, Parkdale - Drawing a parallel between a cannabis and pear orchard grower Definition for wholesaler.

Lights restriction and set backs on EFU lands - he believes that cannabis is a farm agricultural crop just like apple, pear farmers and stepping back and look at it from the perspective of another crop that can be mind altering and making sure minors are restricted. There are regulations that restrict this crop outside of other crops. To draw a parallel to the light like fruit farmers to keep moisture from the crop like the fans the lighting is needed to supplement the cannabis crops.

Frank Valasquez 3161 Bailey Rd, Mt Hood Oregon - operates on a 5 acres parcel - he did receive his LUCS - he understand that we want to enforce additional regulations on cannabis grows. Feels the state set up a good set of rules along with law enforcement. He is not sure why HRC wants to enforce additional restrictions and heard no real reason how they will help the community and understand what the restrictions will provide for the community he lives in . He is surrounded by RR lands and feels extra restrictions will make it hard and it will push this back to the black market. HR voters voted for this law.

Ms. Collins, 1980 Avalon Way, owns a building in M2 zoned land and leases the building to a processor and gone through the permit process. One issue is fencing and odor control in light industrial lands. The fence must be 20 ft outside of the usable area and cannot have razor wire. In her area other businesses has razor wire and their fence is on their property line. Why is she being singled out and have to meet additional restrictions? Odor - we are supposed to be putting in carbon filtration system in the industrial park that she is in and the fiberglass business in the park is awful and the smell from that business is awful and it is not required to have a filtrations system. Feel they shouldn't be singled out and have to spend additional funds just because they are growing cannabis.

Eric Whittable 80 Hacienda Circle, CA - He wants to set up a processing facility here but they are all taken. He would like to site his business here but the space is taken up - find a spot and he will bring his business to Hood River.

Charles Weatherly 1511 Checketts Road, - Lives on a RR property - concerned about the possibility of it being allowed for cannabis growing. He didn't agree with the law but it was passed. The law says that you can grow 3-4 plants for personal use and feels that should be all that is allowed in a residential zone. Cannabis is still a controlled substance it is not just a crop.

Belinda Ballah, Prevention Director - Handed out some information on marijuana use and educational outcomes - As the Prevention Direction she has concerns about the impact marijuana has on youth. From the 2015 health teen survey at HRVHS 11th graders 30 days use rate is at 29.1 percent and from that same report 79.1 says it is easy or very easy to get marijuana. Spoke with Jane Burke at the hospital and they have seen a large increase of marijuana illnesses and sickness mostly from edibles. We do not know the long term impact marijuana business will have on our community but reports from Washington show the opposite of the goals from legalizing marijuana and they have not seen the black market go away, the youth use has gone up.

Marijuana has serious negative impacts on brains during the developing years which is until the age of 25. We can limit the availability, and accessibility on marijuana and should do so. She asks for the most restrictive side bars and then see how things go and then you can go back and loosen those if warranted. She asked for increased set backs from schools, secondary schools, daycares, preschools and many others.

Tom Yates, handed out info to BOC (need copy) 3546 Avalon Drive - thanked the BOC and PC for everyone involved for the hard work done. The Feds in the last month decided to keep marijuana at a schedule 1 drug right along the line of heroine. What do the federal people know that we do not know, we need to face the truth here. In the 60s as a 12 year old boy he saw the flint stone cartoon

and thought that has to be fun - he saw a pack of cigarettes in the street and he picked them up. He then aided his younger sister to begin smoking cigarettes. It doesn't take much to entice a young person to try something that will ruin their life - we as adults should in no way be aiding youth to have access to marijuana.

Asking for moratorium on any growing, processing facilities in our county for at least one year. What is keeping a marijuana outlet in HR from putting up an advertisement of their product on a billboard or on the movie screen at the theater?

Jade, 1502 Lincoln - handed out a graph she has been working with the prevention department - graph shows decrease in 11th graders great risk smoking regularly and the 30 day use has been going up. Kids are getting the message that because marijuana is now legal there is nothing wrong with it. She is supportive of the regulations.

Juan Reyes 3415 Layson Rd, Juan read a statement from their coalition and there concern for their community.

Luz Oropeza, 3344 AGA Rd - represents the Odell Hispanic coalition -
(Gets Juan's statement and graph that was handed out)

Luz translated for a lady who speaks mainly Spanish: hey believe we do not need more marijuana businesses in the community. Understands 85 percent of English and wants to express her opinion - Wants to speak from the heart of a mother - it is becoming difficult in the community to see how easy it is for kids to obtain marijuana. So she told her kids she wants to be a monster with eyes all over her head to help not only her kids but all the kids in the neighborhoods. She has seen in school kids passing envelopes it is easy to access. She has seen retailers that sell marijuana can have an impact on those that don't use it. I know it is in the responsibility of each person to use or not use and educate the family. Asks as a mother to support us and help make marijuana less accessible to them. It is not only the issue of using but when they don't have money they will obtain it other ways whether that is stealing or other ways. Thank you for your time.

Sheriff English - Urge the commission to take a prudent approach. From their perspective they are getting complaints and inquires about the grow operations that are going up around the county. It is new and folks are confused as to what is allowed. There is concern in the Odell area because there is already a large operation and another coming in. They have been responding to alarms which are normal for businesses. But recently responded to a grow site where allegedly one grower pulled a gun on the other. Mr. Valezques that the intent of the law was to get rid of the black market - that is not happening. If that was the case we would not have had a gang relegated marijuana shooting on the west end of HR. We would not have had the traffic stops with marijuana being transported in the trunk. We are not talking about a wheat crop. Marijuana is highly sought out. He does not believe any of the businesses here this evening have any intent of breaking the law. There have been reports of folks going around town asking their neighbors to grow 4 plants for them because they already have their 4 plants that was not the intent of the law.

Dr. Michelle Beanman - emergency physician in HR helps with child abuse cases. She has seen 3 cases recently: one of a one year old child that picked up an edible or something and ingested it and came into the ER completely intoxicated, another of a teenager who experimented with marijuana that may or may not have been contaminated causing extremely high blood pressure and required sedation to calm down. 17 year old man who has used the marijuana so much that he has yper amaisis syndrome. Washington Poison control is collecting data in three states and Oregon is starting to do the same. There is very little data on how this will affect our youth. We live in a healthy great community, but over the last several years of her practice she has started seeing alcohol fetal syndrome, babies that are effected by moms that are on anti depressants. Urges the county to be very cautious and to be as safe as possible in protecting the youth in the community.

Kathleen Sanders, Lake Oswego, OR - pharmacist by trade - so all of these concerns she is very aware of. She is here on the land use issues - she wants to address the 80 ft set back, that will impact a property that she owns and finds that set back exclusionary to this crop. On any other crop she might want to grow on that property in the valley they would not have the set back and doesn't feel that complies with the laws. Agrees the lighting issue is inappropriate - be educated on that. She commends John and his staff for the great work they have done on this issue but even still there are deficiencies in the regulations.

No other comments. Chair Rivers closed public testimony.

John Roberts - Community Development Director - in regards to the M56 notice requirement statement, they did what was legal. Trying to find a balance with these regulations is what was intended. Feels the Planning Commission gave the commission a good body of work and feels the recommendations are good and lawful.

Meyer - asked for more information about the rural residential zone from a personal medical or personal exemption, can anything happen in the RR Zone. John said personal use you cannot regulate, so yes 4 personal plants can be grown in the RR zone even with these regulations. If you have an existing medical grow and have the cards and have them updated you are grandfathered but you are

not able to modify that permit without being subject to these rules which would preclude you from having your medical grow in a RR zone. Meyer asked about the micro canopy that Dallman brought up. John said that this will continue to evolve through OLCC and you will see the medical side go away.

Benton asked about micro canopy grow in RR - and the BOC passed what is written now, would they be able to come in and make application for that micro canopy. John said it is still marijuana and this regulation prohibits it on RR zones.

Benton spoke to 1600 RR parcels in the county. And the STR study show 5000 homes. With all the zoning that is non conforming EFU that is not really EFU feels it is inconsistent. John said that state law says we cannot regulate farming on EFU land but on RR we certainly can regulate.

Perkins said the setbacks would address some of the concerns with the non conforming EFU lands.

Joplin - asked about the permitted businesses that are operating in RR - what happens when their permit comes up to renewal. What happens as long as they are not making alterations? The permit is non transferable. John said that is his understanding.

Meyer asked how he feels this will impact land values in the valley. John said he spoke with Mid Col Realtors Association and at the end of the day he doesn't feel it will impact the value a lot. There might be some incidental affect.

Perkins asked John to speak to Right to Farm. Meyer said his understanding is this crop is not under right to farm. John said HB 3400 says Marijuana is special and you can create time place and manner because it is special. Meyer said that legislative carved out regulations that speak to just marijuana . John said this is special, it is new. John said at the staff level it comes down to the affidavit you are required to sign. EFU is an outright use. RR is not outright use it is permitted use.

RR zone was put in place for primarily residential use. EFU was put in place to protect farm land.

Chair asked the Commission if there are questions for John because he wants to continue this hearing to another date.

Meyer - wants the right to farm issue flushed out and reported back at the next hearing date. Chair carried the hearing over to October 17, 2016 at 6pm.

ADJOURNMENT –Chair Rivers adjourned the meeting at 9:30pm.

CALENDAR OF UPCOMING PUBLIC MEETINGS/EVENTS (note all dates and time subject to change with or without notice as required)

Aug 29	6:00	Board of Commissioners Special Meeting, CBAB, 601 State Street, Conf Room 1 st Floor
Sept 5		LABOR DAY, COUNTY OFFICES CLOSED
Sept 5	1:00pm	Tri-County Mental Health Board, Mid Col. Center for Living-TD (1 st Mon. ea. mo.-generally)
Sept 7	2:00pm	HRC Water Planning Group, 601 State St, 1 st floor conf. room (1 st Wed. ea.mo. – generally)
Sept 14	5:30pm	County Planning Commission Meeting, CBAB, 601 State St Conf Rm. 1 st Fl, (2 nd & 4 th Wed. ea. mo generally)
Sept 19	4:00 & 6:00pm	Board of Commissioners work session & business meeting, CBAB, 601 State Street, Conf Room 1 st Floor (3 rd Mon. ea. mo)
Sept 20	7:00pm	Library District Board meeting, Library, 502 State St., Conf. Room (3 rd Tues ea. mo. - subject to change)
Sept 21	3:00pm	Department Head Meeting – Courthouse, 309 State Street, 1 st Floor Conf Room
Sept 27	2:00pm	Safety Committee Meeting, Courthouse, 309 State Street, 1 st Floor (4 th Tues. ea. mo.)
Sept 28	5:30pm	County Planning Commission Meeting, CBAB, 601 State St Conf Rm. 1 st Fl, (2 nd & 4 th Wed. ea. mo generally)
Oct 3	1:00pm	Tri-County Mental Health Board, Mid Col. Center for Living-TD (1 st Mon. ea. mo.-generally)
Oct 5	2:00pm	HRC Water Planning Group, 601 State St, 1 st floor conf. room (1 st Wed. ea.mo. – generally)
Oct 12	5:30pm	County Planning Commission Meeting, CBAB, 601 State St Conf Rm. 1 st Fl, (2 nd & 4 th Wed. ea. mo generally)
Oct 17	4:00 & 6:00pm	Board of Commissioners work session & business meeting, CBAB, 601 State Street, Conf Room 1 st Floor (3 rd Mon. ea. mo)
Oct 18	7:00pm	Library District Board meeting, Library, 502 State St., Conf. Room (3 rd Tues ea. mo. - subject to change)
Oct 19	3:00pm	Department Head Meeting – CBAB, 601 State Street, 1 st Floor Conf Room